UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,010	05/02/2001	Ioana M. Rizoiu	BI9485P	5692
33197 STOUT, UXA	7590 12/22/2006 BUYAN & MULLINS L	EXAMINER		
4 VENTURE,	4 VENTURE, SUITE 300 SHAY, DAVID M		AVID M	
IRVINE, CA 92618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\mathcal{C}	0
X	Ū

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/848,010	RIZOIU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
david shay	3735	
· •	I I	

Peters the Filips of an Annual Priof							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	david shay	3735					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>December 11, 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is la no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da i.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause				
(b) ☐ They raise hew issues that would require further co	•	i L below),					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • •						
I. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant,Amendment ((PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
S. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		·	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .	•						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>29,30,48-52 and 55-59</u> .	•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		·					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	It before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a				
0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.				
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 3. Other:		tils	>				
		DAVID M. SHÂY					
	PR	IMARY EXAMINER	1				
	•	GROUP 330					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: requirement that the directing and the first time period immediately follow the placing and second time period repectively are new issues and possibly new matter.